## Industrial Rail Service Fund FY 07 Evaluation Form for Grant Applications

New Economic Development - 60 point					•			
Sec. 4.(3)"The long term viability of the proposed project as demonstrated by the following: (A) The long term prospect for the	3 - 20 jobs 4 points	21- 50 jobs 7 points	10 points 701-1,000 jobs 1,001-1,50		101-200 jobs 15 points		201-400 jobs 20 points	
affected rail users"  *INDOT will contact IEDC for confirmation	401- 700 jobs 30 points	701-1,000 40 points			00 jobs	> 1500 60 points		
INDOT WITH CORRECT IEDG TOF COMMITMATION	oo points	40 points		oo points		oo points	· .	
Project description and rail infrastruc	ture (43 points)			,				
Sec 4.(3)(B)The soundness of the proposed	Improvement brir			25 points				
business plan including an analysis of the	Project improves	a rail segment to 286K			18 points			
economic impact of the proposed fee structure on affected rail users	add to points froi	m lines 1 or 2	<1 mile	1.0 - 4.9	5.0 - 9.9	10+ miles		
			1 point	5 points	8 points	12 points		
	•	Non 286K Excepted to Class I			15 points 15 points			
	•	Rail spur or siding Non 286K Rehab project from Class I to Class II						
	Bridge Improvement that doesn't reach 286K							
	Other rehab projects on non 286K Class I corridor							
	Other rehab projects on non 286K Class II corridor				10 points 7 points			
Rail Weight			< and > 110lb		Heavy - all 111 lbs and heavie		nd heavier	
	6 points	4 points	•		2 points		-	
Cuistina Comemie Indicatore (26 m	-i-4-\							
Existing Economic Indicators - (26 pc	oints)							
Sec. 4.(2) The impact of a decision to not provide a grant on economic activity and employment in Indiana"						•	,	
Total # of employees of Indiana businesses	< 50 employees	301-700	301-700 employees		More than 700 employees			
served by the shortline Sec.4. (2)	1 point	50-300 employees 3 points	5 points	• •		7 points		
Annual rail cars moved per track mile	< 30 carloads	30-60 carloads			101+ carloads			
Sec. 4.(2)	2 points	6 points	5 points		4 points	•		
County unemployment rate	Worse than Average At Avera		_			n Average		
Sec. 4.(2)	3 points	1 point		0 point				

Traffic trend from past 3 years Sec. 4.(3) (A)	Negative 0 points	Flat or no gro 1 point	wth	Minimal - 1%- 3 points		rong - m points	ore than 4.7% 
Financial Health Sec.4 (2)	Financial strengtl current coverage expense ratio				M	arginal ediocre crong	5 points 6 points 1 point
INDOT's Long-Term Transportation Policy (14 points)							
Sec. 4 (1) The importance of the railroad transportation services that the grant would affect, in the broad perspective of Indiana's overall transportation network	Operates in top 2 Connects with or HSR Corridor	-		• •	ck transfer)		6 points 6 points 2 points
Other Considerations (11 points) Sec. 3 "The administrative control of the industrial rail service fund and the responsibility for the administration of this chapter are vested in the Indiana department of transportation." Compliant with all filings and requests from							
the Rail Office	Yes 4 points		isputes point		No 0	o points	
Railroad Contribution	25% 0 points	26% - 30% 1 point		31% - 40% 2 points		1% or hig points	her 
Received an IRSF grant past 3 years	No 2 points		ne time point		or more tim	es	•
Amount spent last year on routine track maintenance excluding IRSF match funds	2 points	Less than \$4 0 points		e Mo	ore than \$4 points	,000 per	mile
							ţ
Reviewer: RR Name:				RqstAmnt Match			